

Organization **TC 2600** Bldg./Room **KNOX**  
**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

P.O. Box 1450


Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



Hasler


016H26516415

\$00.420


11/06/2008

Mailed From 22314

US POSTAGE




2232084850 B015





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,879	03/04/2004	Takuya Nagai	118886	2001
25944 7590 11/06/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			EXAMINER KHAN, OMER S	
			ART UNIT 2612	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/06/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/791,879	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAGAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Omer S. Khan	<b>Art Unit</b> 2612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Omer S. Khan. (3) Kevin Gualano.

(2) Ed Holloway. (4) Justin Lingard.

Date of Interview: 30 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 13, 26, 28, and 39.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rodgers, Nysen, and McLellan.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner responded to applicant's argument and discussed the teaching of prior art in detailed that were cited in previous office actions. Applicant will possibly file amendment to clarify the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Edwin C. Holloway, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612	
---	--